




Bensenville

Community Data Snapshot

Municipality Series

August 2021 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion (by area) of the municipality.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Bensenville, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Bensenville.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Bensenville	DuPage County	CMAP Region
Total Population	18,813	932,877	8,577,735
Total Households	6,538	348,216	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.8	2.6	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	2.5	1.7	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-9.1	3.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	7,758	42.4	621,563	66.9	4,331,282	51.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	9,016	49.3	132,597	14.3	1,952,500	23.0
Black (Non-Hispanic)	561	3.1	43,661	4.7	1,406,500	16.6
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	766	4.2	109,752	11.8	610,365	7.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	180	1.0	21,487	2.3	182,620	2.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	1,237	6.8	54,850	5.9	518,065	6.1
5 to 19	3,014	16.5	180,581	19.4	1,644,152	19.4
20 to 34	4,705	25.7	175,635	18.9	1,794,152	21.1
35 to 49	3,187	17.4	182,593	19.7	1,701,494	20.1
50 to 64	3,546	19.4	196,013	21.1	1,635,766	19.3
65 to 74	1,413	7.7	82,511	8.9	691,947	8.2
75 to 84	664	3.6	39,108	4.2	346,833	4.1
85 and Over	515	2.8	17,769	1.9	150,858	1.8
Median Age	36.1		39.3		37.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	2,731	21.7	44,483	7.0	663,242	11.5
High School Diploma or Equivalent	3,809	30.3	115,986	18.2	1,314,011	22.8
Some College, No Degree	2,369	18.9	114,852	18.1	1,100,596	19.1
Associate's Degree	898	7.1	46,417	7.3	404,417	7.0
Bachelor's Degree	2,083	16.6	189,386	29.8	1,377,160	23.9
Graduate or Professional Degree	670	5.3	124,559	19.6	906,665	15.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

Nativity, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	11,906	65.1	751,453	80.9	6,857,014	80.8
Foreign Born	6,375	34.9	177,607	19.1	1,626,253	19.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	7,172	42.1	633,878	72.5	5,462,068	68.6
Spanish	7,283	42.7	91,818	10.5	1,469,511	18.4
Slavic Languages	1,487	8.7	29,833	3.4	275,630	3.5
Chinese	20	0.1	12,086	1.4	90,487	1.1
Tagalog	160	0.9	9,928	1.1	73,562	0.9
Arabic	87	0.5	5,824	0.7	61,851	0.8
Korean	111	0.7	2,901	0.3	36,522	0.5
Other Asian Languages	131	0.8	20,421	2.3	109,161	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	593	3.5	64,187	7.3	329,735	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	3,334	0.4	56,675	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	9,872	57.9	240,332	27.5	2,503,134	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	4,294	25.2	76,859	8.8	946,875	11.9

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	1,820	27.7	85,840	25.0	907,247	29.0
2-Person Household	1,731	26.4	112,234	32.7	962,910	30.8
3-Person Household	1,273	19.4	56,207	16.4	487,229	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	1,735	26.5	88,510	25.8	765,944	24.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	4,293	65.5	239,160	69.8	2,020,927	64.7
Single Parent with Child	598	9.1	20,443	6.0	255,505	8.2
Non-Family	2,266	34.5	103,631	30.2	1,102,403	35.3

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	1,166	17.8	36,629	10.7	529,858	17.0
\$25,000 to \$49,999	1,418	21.6	52,039	15.2	567,834	18.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,233	18.8	50,184	14.6	490,586	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,077	16.4	44,438	13.0	395,676	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,013	15.4	69,190	20.2	533,771	17.1
\$150,000 and Over	652	9.9	90,311	26.3	605,605	19.4
Median Income	\$62,756		\$92,809		\$73,572	
Per Capita Income*	\$28,340		\$46,272		\$39,058	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	5,810	88.6	321,368	93.8	2,844,477	91.1
Smartphone(s) Only	526	8.0	12,546	3.7	189,503	6.1
No Computing Devices	749	11.4	21,423	6.2	278,853	8.9
Internet Access	5,696	86.8	317,923	92.7	2,741,960	87.8
Broadband Subscription	5,545	84.5	310,878	90.7	2,640,864	84.6
No Internet Access	863	13.2	24,868	7.3	381,370	12.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	6,559	96.4	342,791	95.1	3,123,330	91.6
Owner-Occupied*	3,306	50.4	251,502	73.4	1,996,297	63.9
Renter-Occupied*	3,253	49.6	91,289	26.6	1,127,033	36.1
Vacant Housing Units	244	3.6	17,516	4.9	286,760	8.4

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units
*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	715	11.1	22,785	6.8	346,898	11.4
Less than 20 Percent	5	0.1	332	0.1	8,867	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	65	1.0	1,158	0.3	25,618	0.8
30 Percent or More	645	10.0	21,295	6.3	312,413	10.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,768	27.4	60,648	18.0	684,002	22.5
Less than 20 Percent	167	2.6	5,342	1.6	77,326	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	275	4.3	11,152	3.3	145,913	4.8
30 Percent or More	1,326	20.6	44,154	13.1	460,763	15.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,226	19.0	49,720	14.8	485,439	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	431	6.7	13,929	4.1	151,167	5.0
20 to 29 Percent	398	6.2	17,577	5.2	173,246	5.7
30 Percent or More	397	6.2	18,214	5.4	161,026	5.3
\$75,000 or More	2,742	42.5	203,168	60.4	1,527,241	50.2
Less than 20 Percent	2,007	31.1	136,771	40.7	1,022,835	33.6
20 to 29 Percent	597	9.3	50,009	14.9	382,768	12.6
30 Percent or More	138	2.1	16,388	4.9	121,638	4.0

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	29	36
Transportation Costs	20	21
TOTAL H+T COSTS	48	57

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, [Location Affordability Index](#) (2012-2016).

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Bensenville.

Housing Type, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	3,102	45.6	213,688	59.3	1,710,011	50.1
Single Family, Attached	151	2.2	43,168	12.0	254,103	7.5
2 Units	133	2.0	3,428	1.0	237,977	7.0
3 or 4 Units	214	3.1	11,015	3.1	268,945	7.9
5 to 9 Units	1,042	15.3	23,823	6.6	270,643	7.9
10 to 19 Units	612	9.0	23,334	6.5	151,076	4.4
20 or More Units	1,549	22.8	41,013	11.4	490,331	14.4
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	838	0.2	27,004	0.8

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	1,949	28.6	44,304	12.3	548,002	16.1
2 Bedrooms	1,981	29.1	86,581	24.0	965,749	28.3
3 Bedrooms	2,173	31.9	119,627	33.2	1,133,210	33.2
4 Bedrooms	556	8.2	88,298	24.5	597,921	17.5
5 or More Bedrooms	144	2.1	21,497	6.0	165,208	4.8
Median Number of Rooms*	4.6		6.2		6.0	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	447	6.6	38,149	10.6	463,304	13.6
Built 1970 to 1999	3,370	49.5	208,330	57.8	1,161,616	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	2,754	40.5	93,121	25.8	1,044,859	30.6
Built Before 1940	232	3.4	20,707	5.7	740,311	21.7
Median Year Built	1972		1978		1968	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Bensenville.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	416	6.3	14,175	4.1	399,783	12.8
1 Vehicle Available	2,300	35.1	104,895	30.6	1,111,243	35.6
2 Vehicles Available	2,325	35.4	153,560	44.8	1,098,207	35.2
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,518	23.1	70,161	20.5	514,097	16.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	193	2.0	33,135	6.9	226,183	5.4
Drive Alone	7,873	79.8	368,745	76.4	2,865,893	68.4
Carpool	1,186	12.0	32,345	6.7	323,457	7.7
Transit	328	3.3	35,262	7.3	557,002	13.3
Walk or Bike	237	2.4	9,148	1.9	164,065	3.9
Other	51	0.5	4,009	0.8	53,525	1.3
TOTAL COMMUTERS	9,675	98.0	449,509	93.1	3,963,942	94.6
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	25.3		29.8		32.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Bensenville	DuPage County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	21,167	20,878	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Bensenville	DuPage County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	15.7%	17.7%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	65.9%	47.9%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	18.4%	34.4%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Bensenville.

Employment Status, 2015-2019

	Bensenville		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	10,416	70.6	512,716	69.1	4,546,758	67.3
Employed†*	10,002	96.0	491,547	95.9	4,260,595	93.7
Unemployed*	414	4.0	20,833	4.1	274,246	6.0
Not in Labor Force	4,329	29.4	229,415	30.9	2,213,132	32.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Private Sector Employment*, 2020

	Bensenville		DuPage County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	19,651	N/A	554,155	N/A	3,574,346	N/A
Job Change, 2010-20	3,492	21.6	69,245	14.3	441,295	14.1
Job Change, 2002-20	-1,476	-7.0	44,414	8.7	215,986	6.4
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	3.00		1.62		1.14	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2020).

*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Data is not available for Kendall County.

***Based on households from 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment of Bensenville Residents*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	1,161	14.2
2. Retail Trade	840	10.3
3. Administration	833	10.2
4. Health Care	820	10.0
5. Wholesale Trade	710	8.7
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	1,220	14.9
2. Bensenville	732	9.0
3. Elk Grove Village	471	5.8
4. Elmhurst	303	3.7
5. Schaumburg	259	3.2

Employment in Bensenville*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	4,619	23.0
2. Administration	4,400	21.9
3. Transportation	2,754	13.7
4. Wholesale Trade	2,188	10.9
5. Construction	1,720	8.6
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	3,292	16.4
2. Bensenville	732	3.6
3. Addison	397	2.0
4. Schaumburg	380	1.9
5. Elgin	352	1.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2018).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Bensenville.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	777.8	21.9
Multi-Family Residential	149.4	4.2
Commercial	141.4	4.0
Industrial	956.3	26.9
Institutional	173.5	4.9
Mixed Use	2.2	0.1
Transportation and Other	973.6	27.4
Agricultural	4.5	0.1
Open Space	194.8	5.5
Vacant	177.5	5.0
TOTAL	3,550.9	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Bensenville	DuPage County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	7.22	8.39	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2015

	Bensenville	DuPage County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	0.0%	1.4%	40.5%
Moderate Walkability	27.9%	38.5%	23.8%
Low Walkability	72.1%	60.1%	35.8%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). It does not currently account for the presence or absence of sidewalks. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Revenue

The revenue tables include Bensenville revenues based on sales and current land use.

General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2020

	Bensenville	DuPage County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$434,859,293	\$16,459,948,936	\$93,778,223,174
Total Retail Sales	\$535,552,459	\$20,167,164,528	\$122,031,206,863
Total Sales per Capita*	\$29,296	\$21,707	\$14,385

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2020.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Equalized Assessed Value, 2019

Residential	\$269,414,452
Commercial	\$79,819,808
Industrial	\$270,085,019
Railroad	\$3,708,145
Farm	\$1,183
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$623,028,607

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2019.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2015-2019 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	52.1	38.9	42.4
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	37.1	48.2	49.3
Black (Non-Hispanic)	2.6	6.2	3.1
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	6.3	5.8	4.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.9	1.0	1.0

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
19 and Under	27.9	29.4	23.3
20 to 34	26.8	23.4	25.7
35 to 49	21.6	22.1	17.4
50 to 64	12.4	15.2	19.4
65 and Over	11.3	9.9	14.2
Median Age	32.2	33.4	36.1

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	28.9	25.8	21.7
High School Diploma or Equivalent	28.3	32.4	30.3
Some College, No Degree	19.9	19.8	18.9
Associate's Degree	3.8	5.9	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	14.7	11.0	16.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	4.5	4.9	5.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
Native	60.1	65.1
Foreign Born	39.9	34.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population
*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
English Only	41.2	42.1
Spanish	43.9	42.7
Slavic Languages	5.1	8.7
Chinese	0.0	0.1
Tagalog	0.6	0.9
Arabic	1.0	0.5
Korean	0.3	0.7
Other Asian Languages	1.4	0.8
Other Indo-European Languages	6.2	3.5
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.3	0.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	58.8	57.9
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	32.9	25.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
1-Person Household	24.0	27.7
2-Person Household	27.8	26.4
3-Person Household	15.7	19.4
4-or-More-Person Household	32.5	26.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Family	70.8	65.5
Single Parent with Child	14.2	9.1
Non-Family	29.2	34.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2019 Dollars)	2015-2019 (2019 Dollars)
Median Income	\$61,553	\$62,756

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.7	92.7	96.4
Owner-Occupied*	56.1	51.5	50.4
Renter-Occupied*	43.9	48.5	49.6
Vacant Housing Units	3.3	7.3	3.6

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than \$20,000	14.0	11.1
Less than 20 Percent	0.0	0.1
20 to 29 Percent	1.8	1.0
30 Percent or More	12.2	10.0
\$20,000 to \$49,999	30.7	27.4
Less than 20 Percent	2.7	2.6
20 to 29 Percent	9.5	4.3
30 Percent or More	18.6	20.6
\$50,000 to \$74,999	20.5	19.0
Less than 20 Percent	6.8	6.7
20 to 29 Percent	6.3	6.2
30 Percent or More	7.3	6.2
\$75,000 or More	31.5	42.5
Less than 20 Percent	20.3	31.1
20 to 29 Percent	6.9	9.3
30 Percent or More	4.4	2.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Single Family, Detached	45.9	45.6
Single Family, Attached	3.5	2.2
2 Units	2.1	2.0
3 or 4 Units	4.2	3.1
5 or More Units	44.3	47.1
Mobile Home/Other*	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	24.5	28.6
2 Bedrooms	35.9	29.1
3 Bedrooms	29.2	31.9
4 Bedrooms	8.9	8.2
5 or More Bedrooms	1.5	2.1
Median Number of Rooms*	4.5	4.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	3.6	6.6
Built 1970 to 1999	41.9	49.5
Built 1940 to 1969	47.1	40.5
Built Before 1940	7.3	3.4
Median Year Built	1968	1972

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
No Vehicle Available	6.8	6.3
1 Vehicle Available	38.3	35.1
2 Vehicles Available	37.3	35.4
3 or More Vehicles Available	17.7	23.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Work at Home*	2.9	2.0
Drive Alone	81.6	79.8
Carpool	7.0	12.0
Transit	3.7	3.3
Walk or Bike	4.2	2.4
Other	0.7	0.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	97.1	98.0
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	23.6	25.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
In Labor Force	72.3	70.6
Employed†*	93.3	96.0
Unemployed*	6.7	4.0
Not in Labor Force	27.7	29.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains [more information](#) about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Bensenville*

Primary Water Source: Lake Michigan**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	2.44	1.84	-24.5
Residential Sector	1.94	1.15	-40.3
Non-Residential Sector	0.51	0.69	35.8

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

**The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

***Millions of gallons per day.

****Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

	Bensenville			CMAP Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	97.2	62.3	-35.8	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

**Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

REAL PRICE PER 1,000 GALLONS	2008 (2018 Dollars)	2018 (2018 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$4.17	\$10.00	139.7	9.1
Sewer	\$3.01	\$8.49	182.2	10.9
Combined** (if Applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

**Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

Water Loss*

Reporting utility: Bensenville

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	0.22
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	\$355,248
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	14.2

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Bensenville	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	29.1%	46.6%	64.9%	ACS, 2015-2019
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	83.2%	80.8%	83.4%	ACS, 2015-2019
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	47.4%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	41.5%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.33	0.18	0.15	USGS NLCD, 2016
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	62.3	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$511.05	\$324.17*	N/A**	CMAP, 2020
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 78% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2020
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	21.8%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	9.3%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	19.7%	30.3%	37.3%	ACS, 2015-2019

*Median value of CMAP region’s 284 municipalities.

**ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row.